Effects of Light Pollution on Moth Communities in Hong Kong

Woo Sing Yi (UID: 3035466954, Major: Ecology & Biodiversity) Supervisors: Dr. Louise Ashton, Dr. Timothy Bonebrake & Dr. Caroline Dingle

Background

Increasing level of artificial light at night (ALN) threatens global biodiversity^{1,2}. An increasing number of studies show ALN disturbs multiple groups of animals and plants.



8

Species Interactions Minnaar et al. found moth consumption of bats increased 6x under lit conditions⁴.



Modified Pennsylvania Light Traps⁹





their attraction to light⁵. Studies have shown ALN has adverse impacts on growth⁵ and feeding⁶ of moths. However, impacts of ALN on moth communities remain understudied⁷.

Hong Kong is densely populated with high level of light pollution⁸. This study takes this opportunity to
understand how light pollution affects moth communities in a subtropical ecosystem.

We hypothesize that there will be differences in moth communities at different levels of ALN. We also expect a shorter forewing length in moths that are exposed to ALN.





5 p.m. for about 12 hours at dark and lit sites. Moths are collected in the morning.

included in the analysis except moths belong to the superfamily Pyraloidea were all included. was assigned to each morphospecies. Forewing length of each specimen were also measured. These 2 variables, taxonomic ID and forewing length, are used in the analysis.

Data Analysis & Results

Due to the COVID-19 outbreak, only two sites on Lamma Island were sampled. 150 moths were collected (84 from the dark site, 66 from the lit site). Light intensity was measured as 0 lux at the dark site and 6.7 lux at the lit site.



7.14% **-**1.51% 10.6% 90% 4.76% 80% 20.2% 22.7% 70% 60% 29.8% 24.2% 50% 40% 30% 20% 40.9% 38.1% 10% 0% Dark Lit

■Erebidae ■Geometridae ■Pyralidae ■Arctiinae ■Others

Proportion of moth families sampled at dark and lit sites

After grouping moth species by families, NMDS plot and PERMANOVA results show no significant difference (p=0.549) in moth communities by families.



▲ Forewing length of moths at dark site was greater than that of lit site

Wilcoxon signed-rank test: significant difference (p<0.01) in forewing length of moths between dark and lit sites, where median of moths'

▲ Moth communities did not differ between dark and lit sites

Each sampling night was treated as a replicate. Non-metric Multi-dimensional Scaling (NMDS): no clustering shown on the NMDS plot (see above) Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA): no significant relationship (p=0.186) between moth communities and light intensity

forewing length at the dark site is greater (dark site: 1.1 cm, lit site: 1 cm)

Discussion & Conclusion

Moth communities did not differ between dark and lit sites, while moths were slightly greater in size at the dark site.



Assuming forewing length of moths is related to their biomass, ALN may be related to loss of biomass in moths, which may affect other tropic levels. Further investigation on the cause of the size difference is needed.



100%

Forewing length of moths can be considerd in the future studies on ALN. Though the sampling was impeded by COVID-19 outbreak, methods proposed in this study are still valuable in order to have a more complete understanding of how ALN affects moth communities and possibly other arthropod groups.





 Hölker F, Wolter C, Perkin EK, Tockner K. 2010. Light pollution as a biodiversity threat. Trends Ecol Evol 25(12):681-682.
Koen EL, Minnaar C, Roever CL, Boyles JG. 2018. Emerging threat of the 21st century lightscape to global biodiversity. Glob Change Biol. 24(6):2315-324.

3. van Doren BM, Horton K, Dokter A, Klinck H, Elbin SB, Farnsworth A. 2017. High-intensity urban light installation dramatically alters nocturnal bird migration. PNAS. 114(42):11175-11180.

4. Minnaar C, Boyles JG, Minnaar IA, Sole CL, Mckechnie AE. 2015. Stacking the odds: Light pollution may shift the balance in an ancient predator-prey arms race. J Appl Ecol. 52(2):522-531.

5. van Geffen KG, van Grunsven RHA, van Ruijven J, Berendse F, Veenendaal EM. 2014. Artificial light at night causes diapause inhibition and sex-specific life history changes in a moth. Ecol Evol. 4(11):2082-2089.

6. van Langevelde F, van Grunsven RHA, Veenendaal EM, Fijen TPM. 2017. Artificial night lighting inhibits feeding in moths. Biol Lett. 13(3):20160874.

7. Sanders D, Gaston KJ. (2018). How ecological communities respond to artificial light at night. J Exp Zool A. 329(8-9):394-400.

8. Pun CSJ, Chu WS, Wai YL, Chung FW. 2014. Contributions of artificial lighting sources on light pollution in hong kong measured through a night sky brightness monitoring network. J Quant Spectrosc Radiat Transf. 139(C):90-108.

9. Ashton LA, Nakamura A, Basset Y, Burwell CJ, Cao M, Eastwood R, Odell E, de Oliveira EG, Hurley K, Katabuchi M, et al. 2016. Vertical stratification of moths across elevation and latitude. J Biogeogr. 43(1):59-69.