Identification of terminal selectors and cell-fate Markers in C. elegans

neurons

-Vismaya Rajeev Pillai [Physics(Intensive)]

INTRODUCTION

The adult hermaphrodite Caenorharbditis elegans worm has 302 neurons divided into 118 neuron classes. Detailed study of the neuronal system is enabled by means of cell-fate markers, which are genes and the resulting gene products that only occur in a set of specific neurons. Identification of highly specific cell fate markers—those which are only expressed in one class of neurons—allows for increased accuracy and efficiency in studying neuronal genetics. Specification of neuronal identity is a complex process involving multiple factors. Terminal selectors are transcription factors that act cooperatively to biochemically co-regulate the expression of distinct terminal identity features in neurons.

Feedback loop motif						
Feedforward motif						
Coherent feedforward	Incoherent feedforward					
Certivator Activator Effector Taken from O	Terminal selector Repressor Effector Hobbert, 2016					

METHOD

Terminal selectors are necessary to induce expression

of terminally differentiated cell features such as neurotransmitter identity, morphology, and function by controlling the expression of terminal effector genes. Further, terminal selectors are auto-regulators and are expressed continuously throughout the life of the neuron in order to both induce and maintain its terminally differentiated state. Terminal selectors act via two mechanisms; either by activating effector genes or by inhibiting the expression of cell-fate repressors.

The review by O. Hobert published in 2016 compiled all the terminal selectors and cell-
fate markers known at the time. Since, there has been a lot of new research done, and my

	Fate inducer	Fate suppressor	Highly specific markers in purple		
Extrapharyngeal Neurons			Cell fate markers	References	
				Serrano-Saiz et al.,	
404	una 90			2018, Current Biology	
ADA	unc-86		flp-33 (ADE_CEP);	(T1 S)	
ADE	ast-1, ceh-33		dat-1(vtis1)	Lorenzo, 2020, NAR	
5				Masoudi et al., 2018,	
			hlh-4, T09B9.3, C18H7.6; srb-	PLoS Biology : Li et al., 2012, Nat. Neurosci ;	
ADL	lin-11, hlh-4		6(gmls12); ver-2	Lorenzo, 2020, NAR	
				Inada et al., 2006,	
AFD	ttx-1, ceh-14		gcy-3; gcy-8(oyls17)	Genetics	
AIA	ttx-3		gcy-28	Shinkai et al., 2011, J. Neurosci	
AIB	unc-42		3-7		
AIM	unc-86, ceh-14		nlp-70; flp-10(otls92)	Lorenzo, 2020, NAR	
~	0/10-00, 00/1-74		ttx-3 (mg/s18), sra-	Wenick et al., 2004, De	
AIY	ttx-3, ceh-10		11(otls123), hen-1	Cell	
AIZ	unc-86				
ALA	ceh-14, ceh-17		a konstan		
			mec-4(zdls5); mec-	Zheng et al., 2018,	
ALM	unc-86, mec-3	zag.1	17(uls115); mec-18 acy-37(ials25); acy-	Development	
			32(ials19);		
0.225	unc-86, egl-13,		F49H12.4(wdls51;		
AQR	ahr-1		also PQR)		
AS	unc-3			V	
			ASEL: gcy-6(olls162),	Yu et al., 1997, Proc. Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.;	
	che-1, ceh-36,		gcy-Z_ASER: gcy-4,	Ortiz et al., 2006,	
ASE	die-1. lim-6	cog-1	acy-5(ntls1: otls220)	Genetics	
ASG	lin-11, ceh-37		gcy-15;	Ortiz et al., 2006, Genetics	
400			gpa-11p2(otEx5336).	Germans	
			gpa-13(ofEx213), gpa-		
			15(pkls591), flp-	Power at al. 1000	
ASH	unc-42		21(ynls80), sra- 6(ovis14)	Baran et al., 1999, Development	
			str-3; gpa-4; fip-	Peckol et al., 2001, Pro	
ASI	unc-3	unc-3	10(ot/s94)	Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A	
				Gonzalez-Barrios et al.,	
			sptf-1(gils698).	2015, Genetics, Carrol et al.,	
			ssu-1(vzEx29),	2006, J. Biol. Chem.;	
	sptf-1		trx-1(ofEx4),	Miranda-Vizuete et al.,	
ASJ	spu-1		gpa-9(pkls586) sra-7, sra-9, srb-	2006, FEBS Lett. Troemel et al., 1995,	
			6(gmls12), srg-2, srg-	Cell.; Kim et al., 2009,	
ASK	ttx-3		8, srbc-64, srbc-66	Science	
			flp-8(yn/s2022;	Company Caip of al	
AUA	ceh-6		ynls78), flp-10(otls92), flp-11(ynls40)	Serrano-Saiz et al., 2013, Cell	
	unc-3, unc-42,		1		
AVA	fax-1		nmr-1(akls3); glr- 1(nuls1, nuls25)	Baran et al., 1999, Development	
			sra-11()/Ex286;		
AVB	unc-3		otis123)		
				Baran et al., 1999, Development; Shaham	
	unc-3, unc-42,		nmr-1(akis3), gir-	et al., 2002, Genes &	
AVD	cfi-1		1(nuls1, rhls4)	Dev	
	unc-3, unc-42,		nmr-1(akts3); gtr-	Baran et al., 1999,	
AVE	fax-1		1(nuls1, nuls25)	Development	
AVG	lin-11, ast-1		nmr-1(akis3); mx- 18(otis182)		
				Nelson et al., 1998,	
AVK	unc-42, fax-1		Ro-1, twk-47	Science Lorenzo, 2020 NAR	
	arrenter terret		unc-47(otis39; oxis12);		
			 A second state and the second state and the second state of the second st		

project involved compiling the new findings, to create an up-to-date database of the known terminal selectors and cell-fate markers.

The new database compiled by reading a multitude of papers (some included in the review, but most published after) contains information specific to each neuron class. The table includes terminal selectors (differentiated by mechanism--repression or induction, cell-fate markers --with specificity denoted, interactions of terminal selectors as well as references of the papers from which the information was collected. Data in this database is compiled from between **30 and 40 published papers**. As per the database, there remain about 33 neuron classes for which no cell-fate markers are known as yet.

A DETAILED EXAMPLE



Taken from Nonet Lab, University of Washington School of Medicine in St Louis

The touch receptor neurons (TRNs) –ALM, PLM, AVM and PVM all share three identified

terminal selectors: UNC-86, MEC-3 and ZAG-1. UNC-86 and MEC-3 activate a set of TRN terminal differentiation genes (such as mec-4, mec-10, mec-7, mec-12, mec-17 etc) while **ZAG-1** acts as a repressor of the FLP fate. The transcription factor and zinc-finger protein complex EGL-44/EGL-46 acts as a terminal selector in FLP neurons by supressing TRN fate. In TRNs, ZAG-1 inhibits expression of the EGL-44/EGL-46 complex, and thus promotes TRN fate. Another terminal selector for AVM neurons, AHR-1, determines AVM terminal fate by inhibiting expression of the PVD fate. The mechanism of inhibition is rather complex, with AHR-1 elevating levels of MEC-3 but blocking the expression of downstream targets of MEC-3 such as HPO-30 (claudlin-like protein). HPO-30 aids in stabilising lateral dendrites in PVD neurons, which are characterized by their highly branched dendritic structure as opposed to AVM neurons which have simple, unbranched morphology.

Table 1: Part of newly compiled database



Taken from C.Zheng et al., 2018, Development